

REMARKS

Claims 25, 27 and 28 have been amended.

The Examiner has rejected applicant's claims 25-28 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim applicant's invention. The Examiner has stated that the transmission step in applicant's claims 25, 27 and 28 is unclear.

In order to overcome this rejection, applicant has amended claims 25, 27 and 28 as above set forth. In particular, applicant has deleted the transmission step from each of these claims. Applicant's amended independent claims 25, 27 and 28 and applicant's dependent claim 26 are now believed to particularly point out and distinctly claim applicant's invention in compliance with the provisions of 35 USC § 112, second paragraph.


The Examiner has also indicated that claims 25-28 are allowable over the prior art.

In view of the above, it is submitted that applicant's claims 25-28, as amended, now comply with the provisions of 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, and patentably distinguish over the cited art of record. Accordingly, reconsideration of the claims and passage of same and this application to issue is respectfully requested.

Dated: June 11, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
T (212) 790-9200


John J. Torrente
Reg. No. 26,339
Attorney for Applicant